REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER ALLEN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period January 1, 2002 Through January 5, 2003



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE FORMER ALLEN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Period January 1, 2002 Through January 5, 2003

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the former Allen County Sheriff's audit for the period January 1, 2002 through January 5, 2003. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees decreased by \$1,017 from the prior calendar year, resulting in excess fees of \$272,566 as of January 5, 2003. Revenues increased by \$2,411 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$3,428.

Report Comments:

- The Former Sheriff Should Have Deposited Funds On A Daily Basis
- Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The former Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

CONTENTS		PAGE
-----------------	--	------

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	3
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	5
COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	13



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Johnny Hobdy, Allen County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bill Foster, Former Allen County Sheriff
Honorable Les Marsh, Allen County Sheriff
Members of the Allen County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the former County Sheriff of Allen County, Kentucky, for the period January 1, 2002 through January 5, 2003. This financial statement is the responsibility of the former County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the former County Sheriff for the period January 1, 2002 through January 5, 2003, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Johnny Hobdy, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bill Foster, Former Allen County Sheriff
Honorable Les Marsh, Allen County Sheriff
Members of the Allen County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated May 29, 2003, on our consideration of the former County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comments and recommendations, included herein, which discusses the following report comments:

- The Former Sheriff Should Have Deposited Funds On A Daily Basis
- Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 29, 2003

ALLEN COUNTY BILL FOSTER, FORMER COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

For The Period January 1, 2002 Through January 5, 2003

Receipts

State Fees For Services:		
Finance and Administration Cabinet	\$ 8,690	
Cabinet For Human Resources	642	\$ 9,332
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Sheriff Security Service	\$ 4,332	
Fines and Fees Collected	 357	4,689
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		1,872
Commission On Taxes Collected		176,505
Fees Collected For Services:		
Auto Inspections	\$ 8,855	
Accident and Police Reports	561	
Serving Papers	32,384	
Sheriff's Add-On Fees - 10% Of Penalty And Tax	34,890	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	3,465	80,155
Other:		
Miscellaneous		1,532
Interest Earned		2,740
Total Receipts		\$ 276,825

ALLEN COUNTY BILL FOSTER, FORMER COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Period January 1, 2002 Through January 5, 2003 (Continued)

<u>Disbursements</u>

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:

Other Charges- Postage Miscellaneous	\$ 642 1,668	\$ 2,310	
Capital Outlay- Computers		 1,949	
Total Disbursements			\$ 4,259
Excess Fees Due County for 2002			\$ 272,566
Payments to County Treasurer: Monthly Refund From County Treasurer		\$ 273,089 (523)	 272,566
Balance Due at Completion of Audit			\$ 0

ALLEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

January 5, 2003

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at January 5, 2003.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 6.41 percent for the first six months of the year and 6.34 percent for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 16.28 percent for the year.

ALLEN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT January 5, 2003 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The former Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of January 5, 2003, the former Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the former Sheriff's agent in the former Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the former Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

Note 4. Drug Fund

The former Sheriff's office maintained a drug fund. The January 1, 2002 beginning balance was \$2,196. Receipts of \$10,834 were deposited into the account. During the year, expenditures totaled \$9,008. The January 5, 2003 balance was \$4,022. This balance was transferred to Sheriff Les Marsh on January 21, 2003.

Note 5. K-9 Fund

The former Sheriff's office maintained a K-9 fund. The January 1, 2002 beginning balance was \$1,627. During the year, receipts were \$1,354 and expenditures were \$2,539. The January 5, 2003 balance was \$442. This balance was transferred to Sheriff Les Marsh on January 21, 2003.



ALLEN COUNTY BILL FOSTER, FORMER COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

For the Period January 1, 2002 Through January 5, 2003

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

The Former Sheriff Should Have Deposited Funds On A Daily Basis

Technical Audit Bulletin 92-003, Section 4, requires the Sheriff to deposit funds intact on a daily basis. During the 2002 Fee Audit, we noted funds were deposited intact. However, abnormal delays (more than three business days) were noted between dates received and dates deposited for daily receipts. Daily receipts should have been deposited at least once a week or whenever there were \$200 in receipts.

Former County Sheriff's Response:

None.

INTERNAL CONTROL:

Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Former Sheriff's office had a lack of segregation of duties. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small size and budget restrictions, the former official had limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. However, compensating controls could have been implemented to offset this internal control weakness.

Former County Sheriff's Response:

None.

PRIOR YEAR:

• The Sheriff Should Deposit Funds Intact On A Daily Basis

This finding has not been corrected and is repeated in this audit report.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Johnny Hobdy, Allen County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bill Foster, Former Allen County Sheriff
Honorable Les Marsh, Allen County Sheriff
Members of the Allen County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the former Allen County Sheriff for the period January 1, 2002 through January 5, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated May 29, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Allen County Sheriff's financial statement for the period January 1, 2002 through January 5, 2003, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance that is required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, and which is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

• The Former Sheriff Should Have Deposited Funds On A Daily Basis



Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the former Allen County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted certain matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comments and recommendations.

• Lacked Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the reportable condition described above to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 29, 2003